

Case law

Case Details

National ID: 3-2-1-11-2010

Member State: Estonia

Common Name: Artur Melnitsenko v. VARIETAS Ltd

Decision type: Other

Decision date: 30/03/2010

Court: Riigikohus (Supreme court)

Subject:

Plaintiff:

Defendant:

Keywords:

Directive Articles

Consumer Sales and Guarantees Directive, [Article 3, 5](#).

Headnote

The case concerns art. 3(5) of the Directive 99/44 and § 223 of the Law of Obligations Act.

Facts

Legal issue

Art. 3 (5) of The Directive 99/44 states that the consumer may require an appropriate reduction of the price or have the contract rescinded:

- if the seller has not completed the remedy within a reasonable time.

Also § 223 of the Law of Obligations Act states that the buyer may have the contract rescinded if the seller has not completed the remedy within a reasonable time (this is considered as a significant violation of the contract).

Decision

Full text: [Full text](#)

Related Cases

No results available

Legal Literature

No results available

Result