

## Case Details

### Case Details

National ID	3-2-1-11-2010
Member State	Estonia
Common Name	Artur Melnitsenko v. VARIETAS Ltd
Decision type	Other
Decision date	30/03/2010
Court	Riigikohus (Supreme court)
Subject	
Plaintiff	
Defendant	
Keywords	

### Directive Articles

Consumer Sales and Guarantees Directive, [Article 3, 5](#).

### Headnote

The case concerns art. 3(5) of the Directive 99/44 and § 223 of the Law of Obligations Act.

### Facts

#### Legal issue

Art. 3 (5) of The Directive 99/44 states that the consumer may require an appropriate reduction of the price or have the contract rescinded:

- if the seller has not completed the remedy within a reasonable time.

Also § 223 of the Law of Obligations Act states that the buyer may have the contract rescinded if the seller has not completed the remedy within a reasonable time (this is considered as a significant violation of the contract).

### Decision

Full Text: [Full Text](#)

### Related Cases

No results available

### Legal Literature

No results available

### Result